



Foothill High School

230 Pala Ave. • San Jose, CA 95127 • (408) 928-9100 • Grades 11-12

Noemi C. Ramirez, Principal

ramireznc@esuhsd.org

<http://foothill.esuhsd.org/>

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



East Side Union High School District

830 N. Capitol Avenue
San Jose, CA 95133
(408) 347-5000
www.esuhsd.org

District Governing Board

Pattie Cortese

Lan Nguyen

Van Thi Le

J. Manuel Herrera

Lorena Chavez

District Administration

Chris D. Funk
Superintendent

Glenn Vander Zee
**Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services**

Chris Jew
**Associate Superintendent
Business Services**

Dr. John Rubio
**Associate Superintendent
Human Resources**

School Description

Foothill High school welcomes all 11-12 grade students who want to continue on their path for a high school diploma. Foothill is committed to giving all students the necessary skills and academic background to be successful with their academic studies. We offer all courses required for graduation and the support services necessary to prepare students to be productive and positive adults. Students are encouraged to take advantage of the class schedule, CEOs, and also request special projects to assist them in earning credits in specific courses.

Our Mission: To provide a safe and caring environment where students achieve the academic, personal and social development required to continue learning, pursue post-secondary education, compete in a dynamic job market, and participate in a diverse, democratic society.

Foothill is dedicated to equity and success for all students and eagerly looks forward to providing the best learning opportunities possible. With parent/student/staff partnership, we will meet students where they are at today, give them what they need and hope to put them in a better learning experience, so that they have the future we all wish them to have as positive adults!

Types of Services Funded

Categorical funds come from the state or federal government. Each categorical fund was created to address a certain student need, and is based on the legislation that was passed to address that need. For example, Title I is intended to mitigate the effects of poverty by supporting supplemental educational opportunities for students, bringing them to a 'level playing field' with other students who are not living in poverty. Title III is intended to support the achievement of English Learner and immigrant students and is restricted to the purposes laid out in the original legislation that created policy, programs, and their associated funding. Each categorical fund has a formula for determining how it is allocated. Some are by enrollment (CBEDS) and some are by a characteristic of the student (if they receive free or reduced lunch, or if they are an English Learner). How these funds are disbursed at the school level is determined in the School Plan for Student Achievement which is approved initially by the Site Council and ultimately by the Board of Trustees.

Foothill High School receives:

- Title 1 Funds – These monies are used to ensure that all students have a fair, equal and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency.
- Economic Impact Aid [EIA] Funds - These funds are used to support additional programs and services for English Language Learners and economically disadvantaged students.
- From these funds the following programs are being funded:
- Read 180 Language Arts Program
- Supplemental Social Service Program to include three certified Social Workers, two of which provide mental health services and two intern Social Workers from San Jose State University.

Student Support Team that provides immediate intervention for students that are having difficulty focusing on their education, direct counseling services to include drug and alcohol counseling, conflict mediation, parent/student mediation, and referrals to social service agencies operated by Santa Clara County.

Career Technical Education Programs

Foothill High School works very closely with SVCTE to provide career and vocational courses to our students. Each semester students participate in programs and classes that directly relate to their career choice. In addition, we provide college fair and college visit for our students to ensure the transition after high school.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 11	63
Grade 12	211
Total Enrollment	274

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	5.1
Filipino	1.1
Hispanic or Latino	86.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.7
White	2.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.8
English Learners	25.9
Students with Disabilities	7.7
Foster Youth	0.4
Homeless	1.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Foothill High School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	19	24	19.8
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

Teacher Credentials for East Side Union High	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	♦	♦	942.3
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	34.8
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Foothill High School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>English 1 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 9 McDougal Littell 2002 English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10 McDougal Littell 2002 English 3 – MyPerspectives: American Literature//Pearson ERWC (English 4) Expository Reading and Writing Course Reader</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Mathematics	<p>CCSS Math 1 – “Big Ideas Integrated Mathematics I,” Big Ideas Learning, LLC 2016 CCSS Math 2 – “Big Ideas Integrated Mathematics II,” Big Ideas Learning, LLC 2016</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Science	<p>Integrated Science 1 – “Spectrum Physical Approach/Science/Explorations” Holt 2001, 03, 04 NGSS Biology - The Living Earth -- STEMscopes, eTextbook and Web-based resources</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
History-Social Science	<p>World History – “Modern World History” McDougal-Littell 2004 US History – “The American Vision” Glencoe/McGraw Hill 2006 American Government – “Government Alive! Power, Politics and You” TCI 2014 Economics – “Econ Alive! The Power to Choose” TCI 2015 World Geography - "Geography Alive!" TCI 2011</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Foreign Language	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Health	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Visual and Performing Arts	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Science Laboratory Equipment	<p>Science labs are adequately equipped</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district’s Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Management Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

Age Of School Buildings

Although the main school campus was constructed in 1967, portable buildings have been added to accommodate diverse program needs as well as two science classrooms that have a separate lab area for students. Our library is 6 years old.

Modernization Projects

In the 2012/2011 school year, we modernized 12 classrooms and also installed SmartBoards in most of the classrooms and the library. Hooper Hall which is our multi-purpose building and has upgrades that allow for more indoor seating areas for our students.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 09/18/2019

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	Noted possible issue with economizer in B and E building, HVAC team repairing.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Noted touch up paint needed near soffit at one building, site to touch up.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Overall the site was in very good condition.

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	4	7	59	59	50	50
Math	0	1	38	39	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Foothill High School has a School Safety Committee made up of administrators, teachers, advisors, parents and community members. The School Safety Plan is reviewed each year by this committee. Foothill has a very detailed, comprehensive safety plan that outlines protocols, systems, and procedures in the event of any/all emergencies. This plan also contains the yearly safety goals as determined by the students, staff, and parents. The Safety Plan and drill procedures are reviewed during the year with all staff. Safety alerts are shared with all staff as needed throughout the school year. In addition, all required drills are calendared and completed and the results are communicated to all staff.

The 2018/2019 Foothill High School Site Safety Plan has a comprehensive, enforceable, and continuous:

- Behavior policy
- Rules and regulations
- Dress code policy
- Protocols for safety/emergency drills
- Tardy policy
- Attendance policy
- Referral process
- Partnership with community agencies, City of San Jose, San Jose Police Department, and other agencies and groups that offer support services
- Safety team
- Student Support Team

**School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	121	101	83.47	7.14
Male	73	64	87.67	7.94
Female	48	37	77.08	5.71
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	111	93	83.78	5.49
White	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	97	80	82.47	7.79
English Learners	30	29	96.67	6.90
Students with Disabilities	11	8	72.73	0.00
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	119	97	81.51	1.03
Male	72	63	87.50	1.59
Female	47	34	72.34	0.00
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	109	90	82.57	0.00
White	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	96	78	81.25	1.28
English Learners	30	29	96.67	0.00
Students with Disabilities	11	8	72.73	0.00
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Foothill values and includes all stakeholders in all facets of the educational process. To encourage parent participation, Foothill maintains a system of open communication and employs a variety of ways to increase stakeholder communication. Pertinent school information, including the results of the school evaluation process, school assessment data, and school programs are also available to parents and guardians via several mailings that are, at times, sent to the home which are written in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese. In addition, to ensure ongoing communication, Foothill utilizes the district's website to provide parents with immediate access to their students' grades, attendance, test scores, school programs, and activities as well as to facilitate parent communication with staff members. Because parent and community participation is essential to student achievement, Foothill High School provides a number of parent involvement opportunities. The school has an active School Site Council that meets monthly to discuss the School Plan for Student Achievement, the allocation of funds, Safety Plans and parent and student concern regarding Foothill's program. Moreover, our school counselor annually schedules parent visits and workshops that include college information, financial aid, and student graduation status. For additional information, please call 408-928-9111.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	14.0	11.8	5.3
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.2	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	4.0	3.6	3.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	274.0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.4
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	1.0
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	.2
Other	1.0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
English	18	19	2		18	19	2		17	20	1	
Mathematics	2	2			17	13			14	13	1	
Science	18	7	3		19	9			19	8	1	
Social Science	18	23	2		18	23	1		15	23		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	28	30	32

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's Common Core Standards standards, district goals, the school's core values, and occur during the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data-driven and directly linked to teaching and learning and the Common Core Standards. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSAs and new teacher orientation meetings that support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School-wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts. These efforts align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect the best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

Profession Development for Foothill High School includes weekly meetings of 2-3 hour sessions throughout the school year.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$55,349	\$52,466
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$90,881	\$87,373
Highest Teacher Salary	\$112,154	\$109,803
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$0	\$
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$142,025
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$146,855	\$153,904
Superintendent Salary	\$286,275	\$241,221

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	34%	33%
Administrative Salaries	3%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$16,594	\$1,042	\$15,552	\$85,539
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,444	\$90,756
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$88,538.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	70.5	-5.9
School Site/ State	76.8	6.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Rate for Foothill High School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	37.8	26	31.5
Graduation Rate	24	16.3	25.5

Rate for East Side Union High School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	10	20.5	17.8
Graduation Rate	85	71.5	75.7

Rate for California	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	9.7	9.1	9.6
Graduation Rate	83.8	82.7	83

For the formula to calculate the 2016-17 and 2017-18 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2018-19 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.

Career Technical Education Participation

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	28
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	46%
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	2%

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2018-19 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	92
2017-18 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

2018-19 Advanced Placement Courses

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.